Case	5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK Document 1	5 Filed 02/17/16	Page 1 of 14	Page ID #:152
1 2 3	TATAR LAW FIRM, APC Stephanie R. Tatar, SBN 237792 stephanie@thetatarlawfirm.com 3500 West Olive Ave, Suite 300 Burbank, CA 91505 Tel: 323.744.1146; Fax: 888.778.	5695		
4 5 6	BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C. E. Michelle Drake, MN Bar No. (emdrake@bm.net Joseph C. Hashmall, MN Bar No. jhashmall@bm.net 100 South Fifth Street, 19th Floor	0392610*		
7 8	Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: 215.875.3000; Fax: 215.875. FRANCIS & MAILMAN, P.C.	4604		
9 10	James A. Francis, PA Bar No. 774 jfrancis@consumerlawfirm.com David A. Searles, PA Bar No. 214			
11 12	dsearles@consumerlawfirm.com Land Title Bldg, 19th Floor 100 South Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19110			
13	Tel: 215.735.8600; Fax: 215.940.	8000		
14 15	Eleanor E. Frisch (SBN 304408) efrisch@nka.com			
16 17	NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP 4600 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402			
18	Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 256-3200; Fax: (612) 3 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Pro			
19 20		TES DISTRICT	COURT	
21	FOR THE CENTRAL			
22	JOHN SMITH, individually and as a representative of the Class,	Case No.:5:	16-cv-0014-V	AP-KK
23	Disintiff		AMENDED COMPLAINT	CLASS
24	Plaintiff,	ACTION C	UNIPLAINT	
25	v.	1681c(a)	ons of 15	U.S.C. §
26 27	A-CHECK AMERICA INC. d/b A-CHECK GLOBAL,		FOR JURY 1	RIAL
28	Defendant.			
	FIRST AMENDED COMPL	AINT, Case No.5:1	6-cv-00174-VAI	P-KK

1	Plaintiff John Smith ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, and on
2	behalf of himself and the consumer Class set forth below, brings the
3	following class action Complaint against A-Check America Inc. d/b/a A-
4	Check Global ("A-Check" or "Defendant") pursuant to the federal Fair Credit
5	Reporting Act ("FCRA").
6	INTRODUCTION
7	1. This consumer class action is brought under the FCRA, 15
8	U.S.C. § 1681, et seq. against A-Check, a consumer reporting agency that
9	routinely prepares background reports that contain dismissed charges that
10	pre-date the report by more than seven years—a blatant violation of one of
11	the FCRA's core employment screening restrictions.
12	2. As Defendant's practices were routine and systematic, Plaintiff
13	asserts claims for damages on behalf of himself and a class of similarly
14	situated individuals on whom Defendant furnished a consumer report.
15	THE PARTIES
16	3. Plaintiff John Smith is an adult resident of Lawrenceville,
16 17	 Plaintiff John Smith is an adult resident of Lawrenceville, Georgia.
17	Georgia.
17 18	Georgia.4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal
17 18 19	 Georgia. 4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 1501 Research Park Drive, Riverside, California,
17 18 19 20	 Georgia. 4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 1501 Research Park Drive, Riverside, California, 92507.
17 18 19 20 21	 Georgia. 4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 1501 Research Park Drive, Riverside, California, 92507. 5. A-Check is a consumer reporting agency within the meaning of
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 Georgia. 4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 1501 Research Park Drive, Riverside, California, 92507. 5. A-Check is a consumer reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA: for monetary fees, it assembles information on consumers for the
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Georgia. 4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 1501 Research Park Drive, Riverside, California, 92507. 5. A-Check is a consumer reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA: for monetary fees, it assembles information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and it uses interstate
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 Georgia. 4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 1501 Research Park Drive, Riverside, California, 92507. 5. A-Check is a consumer reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA: for monetary fees, it assembles information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and it uses interstate commerce to prepare and furnish its reports. A-Check provides these reports
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 Georgia. 4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 1501 Research Park Drive, Riverside, California, 92507. 5. A-Check is a consumer reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA: for monetary fees, it assembles information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and it uses interstate commerce to prepare and furnish its reports. A-Check provides these reports to employers for employment purposes, including for use in taking adverse
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 Georgia. 4. Defendant A-Check is a California corporation with its principal place of business located at 1501 Research Park Drive, Riverside, California, 92507. 5. A-Check is a consumer reporting agency within the meaning of the FCRA: for monetary fees, it assembles information on consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and it uses interstate commerce to prepare and furnish its reports. A-Check provides these reports to employers for employment purposes, including for use in taking adverse employment action against employees, such as employment termination,

Case	5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK Document 15 Filed 02/17/16 Page 3 of 14 Page ID #:154
1	HIDIODICTIONI AND VENILIE
1	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
2	6. Plaintiff initially filed this action in Riverside Superior Court.
3	Defendant removed this action asserting jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§
4	1331, 1391, 1441(a).
5	7. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15
6	U.S.C. § 1681p.
7	8. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391 and 1446, venue is proper in this
8	District because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims
9	occurred in this District, and the action was pending within the District when
10	it was removed.
11	STATUTORY BACKGROUND
12	9. The FCRA was enacted to ensure that consumer reporting
13	agencies report information in a manner that is "fair and equitable to the
14	consumer," and "with regard to the confidentiality, accuracy, relevancy, and
15	proper utilization of such information." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681a, 1681b. Among
16	a number of substantive restrictions on what information may appear in a
17	consumer report, the FCRA prohibits the reporting of arrest and other law
18	enforcement records that predate the report by more than seven years, unless
19	those records are a record of conviction. Specifically, a consumer reporting
20	agency may not report:
21	(2) Civil suits, civil judgments, and records of arrest that from
22	(2) Civil suits, civil judgments, and records of arrest that from date of entry, antedate the report by more than seven years or
23	until the governing statute of limitations has expired, whichever is the longer period
24	is the longer period
25	(5) Any other adverse item of information, other than records
26	of convictions of crimes which antedates the report by more than seven years.
27	15 U.S.C. § 1681c(a).
28	
	-3-
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, Case No.5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK

2 3

1

10. The FCRA also requires consumer reporting agencies to "follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information" contained in consumer reports. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

4

5

6

7

11. Reports that contain factually correct information but nonetheless mislead their readers are neither maximally accurate nor fair to the consumers who are the subjects of such reports. *See Smith v. HireRight Solutions, Inc.*, 711 F. Supp. 2d 426 (E.D. Pa. 2010).

8

9

A.

ALLEGATIONS RELATING TO NAMED PLAINTIFF SMITH Plaintiff's Application to Ricoh.

10 12. In the summer of 2014, Plaintiff was in the job market for a
11 position as a warehouse manager, which is a line of work he had been engaged
12 in for approximately ten years.

13 13. Plaintiff applied to Ricoh Logistics Corporation ("Ricoh") and
14 was invited to interview for a position as a warehouse manager near Atlanta,
15 Georgia. Ricoh subsequently offered Plaintiff a job, and Plaintiff was
16 provided with a start date.

17 14. As part of the application process, Ricoh obtained a confidential
18 background report regarding Plaintiff from A-Check, a redacted copy of
19 which is attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Background Report").

15. Ricoh procured the report on Plaintiff as part of its standard
hiring process. Ricoh did not procure Plaintiff's report or the reports of other
class members in connection with any investigation of suspected misconduct
relating to employment, or compliance with federal, state, or local laws and
regulations, the rules of a self-regulatory organization, or any suspected
violation of preexisting written policies of the employer.

26 16. After receiving Plaintiff's background report, and only one day
27 before Plaintiff was supposed to begin working for Ricoh, a Ricoh employee
28 contacted Plaintiff by telephone and told him that he should not report for -4-

work on his start date because of information contained in his background
 report.

3

4

5

6

B. A-Check Included Illegal Information in Plaintiff's Report.

17. In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c, the Background Report generated by A-Check regarding Plaintiff includes information relating to dismissed charges that predate the report by more than seven years.

For example, in 1996, when Plaintiff was 18 years old, ACheck's report indicates he was charged with a single felony count of Break
or Enter a Motor Vehicle. This count, however, was dismissed and the charge
was amended to Tampering With Vehicle, which is a misdemeanor.
Nonetheless, A-Check reported the dismissed felony count from 19 years ago
on the report, and it reported it in a manner that suggests Plaintiff was found
guilty of the felony charge.

14 19. Similarly, A-Check's report indicates that in 1997—when the Plaintiff was 19 years old-he was charged with a single felony count of 15 16 Financial Card Fraud. The report indicates that the disposition was "guilty" 17 but also indicates that the charge was amended to a single misdemeanor count of Financial Card Fraud. Nonetheless, A-Check's report includes the original 18 19 felony charge, even though that charge was dismissed, and includes it on the 20 same line as the "guilty" disposition, potentially giving the false impression 21 that Plaintiff was convicted of this dismissed felony count.

20. 22 Other sections of the Background Report similarly indicate that 23 A-Check routinely includes charges that are later dismissed, even where those charges pre-date the report by more than seven years. For example, in 2000, 24 Plaintiff was charged with speeding, a misdemeanor. That charge, however, 25 was amended to Improper Equipment: Speedometer, which is merely an 26 "infraction" for which Plaintiff paid a \$115 fine. Nonetheless, A-Check 27 included the dismissed misdemeanor charge on the report, and included it in 28 -51 on the same line as the "guilty" disposition, again giving the false impression 2 that Plaintiff was convicted of the misdemeanor charge.

3 21. A-Check routinely fails to remove dismissed charge information from its reports, including where the dismissed charges predate the report by 4 more than seven years.

5

6 22. A-Check's report also failed to reflect that several criminal 7 actions against Plaintiff were consolidated for sentencing, such as Case Nos. 8 97CRS071568 and 97CRS071571. While these actions were consolidated, 9 the report sets them forth as separate actions, and then sets forth duplicative and redundant charge and sentencing information. Similarly, 97CRS071568 10 11 and 97CRS071570 were consolidated, yet A-Check's report on Plaintiff sets forth both as separate matters, and then sets forth duplicative and redundant 12 13 charge and sentencing information. This failure gives the reader an 14 impression of a much longer criminal history relating to Plaintiff than is 15 accurate.

16 23. Consumer reporting agencies are clearly permitted to report 17 records of "convictions" beyond seven years. 15 U.S.C. § 1681c. But it is equally clear from the face of the same statutory provision that "arrests" and 18 any "other adverse item of information" cannot be reported beyond seven 19 20 years. See 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681c(a)(2) and 1681c(a)(5); see also Avila v. NOW Health Grp., Inc., No. 14 C 1551, 2014 WL 3537825, at *3-*4 (N.D. Ill. July 21 17, 2014) (holding that the "express language of the FCRA" mandates that "a 22 consumer reporting agency may not include any adverse item of information 23 other than a 'record of conviction' not a 'record of dismissed charges'"); 24 Haley v. Talentwise, Inc., 9 F. Supp. 3d 1188, 1192 (W.D. Wash. 2014) 25 26 (finding that under the "plain language" of the FCRA, a "dismissed charge from over seven years ago is both a 'record of arrest' and 'adverse' 27 information that [a consumer reporting agency] is prohibited from including 28 -6-

1 in [a] consumer report") (citing Serrano v. Sterling Testing Syst., 557 F. Supp. 2 2d 688, 693 (E.D. Pa. 2008)); Dunford v. Am. DataBank, LLC, No. C 13-3 03829 WHA, 2014 WL 3956774, at *14 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 12, 2014) ("In light of the remedial purpose of the Act, this order now holds that only the actual 4 5 convictions may be reported and stale dismissed counts must be combed out 6 and go unreported."); King v. Gen. Info. Servs., Inc., 903 F. Supp. 2d 303 7 (E.D. Pa. 2012) (FCRA's requirement excluding obsolete records of arrest 8 comported with commercial speech doctrine); Dowell v. Gen. Info. Servs., 9 Inc., 13-CV-02581-L-BGS, Memorandum of the United States of America in Support of the Constitutionality of § 1681c of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 10 at 17 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 20, 2014) (stating that dismissed charges, even if 11 12 associated with a conviction, may not be reported under the FCRA). 13 Notwithstanding this clear statutory directive, A-Check routinely reports 14 dismissed charges that antedate the report by more than seven years.

24. A-Check's practices violate a fundamental protection afforded 15 to consumers under the FCRA, are contrary to the unambiguous language of 16 17 the statute, and are counter to longstanding judicial and regulatory guidance. See, e.g., Exhibit B, excerpt from FTC, Forty Years of Experience with the 18 19 Fair Credit Reporting Act, An FTC Staff Report with Summary of 20 Interpretations, July 2011, at 55 ("Even if no specific adverse item is reported," 21 a CRA may not furnish a consumer report referencing the existence of 22 adverse information that predates the times set forth in this subsection."); 23 Serrano, 557 F. Supp. 2d at 688 (holding FCRA prohibits even alluding to existence of unreportable adverse information). 24

25 25. As part of the process of assembling consumer reports, A-Check
26 utilizes a variety of algorithms and filters to aggregate and consolidate
27 information from a variety of sources.

28

- 26. It is standard practice for consumer reporting agencies to write filters and algorithms "to filter out obsolete credit information." 2 See 3 www.naca.net/issues/credit-reporting-problems.

1

27. A-Check, consistent with standard industry practices, could 4 5 have written an algorithm or filter to ensure that all of its reports would 6 exclude non-conviction criminal dispositions older than seven years. See In 7 the Matter of General Information Services, Inc, No. 2015-CFPB-0028, ¶ 26-27 (Oct. 29, 2015) (noting that a CRA "possess[ed] certain proprietary 8 9 software that identifies discrepancies in data across multiple traditional 10 criminal history reports. For example, this software could identify a record that was previously suppressed from a report because it had been dismissed 11 or expunded and prevent it from appearing on a future report"). 12

13 28. It is also standard in the consumer reporting industry for 14 consumer reporting agencies to have a purge date for information in their 15 system that has become outdated. See Gillespie v. Trans Union Corp., 482 16 F.3d 907, 908 (7th Cir. 2007). By failing to utilize a purge date for outdated 17 information, A-Check's practices and procedures fall far below industry standards and constitute recklessness. 18

29. 19 A-Check failed to implement these algorithms, in spite of the 20 fact that it easily could have done so and that these types of algorithms are 21 standard in the credit reporting industry.

22 30. A-Check also failed to have the report properly reviewed by an individual who was trained in the FCRA, and specifically, in the requirements 23 of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(a). Had A-Check had a properly trained individual 24 review this report, this problem would have been easily detected. 25

26 31. A-Check knew its conduct was illegal but chose to continue to violate the law in order to avoid the costs of compliance. Its website states, 27 "Generally speaking, arrest records not resulting in a conviction can be 28 -8reported for up to 7 years." (Emphasis in original). See
 <u>http://www.acheckglobal.com/resources/employer-resources.aspx</u>, attached
 hereto as Exhibit C (site last visited July 6, 2015).

32. A-Check also knows that its conduct is illegal through its
membership in the National Association for Background Screening
Professionals ("NAPBS"). At a NAPBS conference in 2012, presenters
discussed that reporting dismissed charges was a FCRA compliance issue and
discussed the lawsuit against GIS for reporting dismissed charges.

9 33. A-Check has negligently and willfully violated 15 U.S.C. §
10 1681c(a) by routinely including all charges in the background reports it
11 generates, even where those charges are more than seven years old and were
12 dismissed.

34. As a result of Defendant's conduct, job applicants, such as
Plaintiff, appear to be worse job candidates than they would be if A-Check
only reported information it is allowed to report under the law. A-Check's
inclusion of this illegal information has caused Plaintiff and members of the
Class to suffer concrete injuries in the form of wage loss, loss of benefits,
delay in employment, emotional distress and/or other adverse employment
action.

20

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

21 35. Plaintiff asserts his claims on behalf of the proposed Class
22 defined as follows:

All persons who were the subject of a background report prepared by Defendant and whose report contains one or more items of criminal information which are non-convictions, where such information antedates the report by more than seven years. The Class includes all individuals whose report was issued at any time dating from five years prior to the filing of this matter through the date of final judgment in this action.

1

36. The Class satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3).

37. 2 <u>Numerosity</u>: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all class 3 members is impracticable. Defendant is a large company that has run thousands of consumer reports for employment purposes in the past five 4 5 years. Because Defendant includes outdated non-conviction information on 6 reports as a matter of course, the class will consist of hundreds, if not 7 thousands, of members. It is impracticable to personally join hundreds or 8 thousands of individuals throughout the United States into a single 9 proceeding.

38. 10 The Class can be identified. Defendant maintains copies of consumer reports for at least two years after they are provided to end-users. 11 The reports are maintained in text which can be electronically and/or 12 13 manually searched to identify charges which pre-date the date of the report 14 by more than seven years. The reports can further be searched to identify non-convictions using commonly used terms to describe such incidents. At 15 16 least four other courts have used such a process to certify classes involving 17 the same allegations at issue here, and a list of terms used to describe nonconvictions which could be used to search Defendant's records and to identify 18 class members is attached hereto as Exhibit D. This list can be refined during 19 20 the course of discovery as needed to ensure that any terms specific to Defendant's reporting practices are incorporated. 21

39. 22 <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members' The FCRA violations committed by Defendant were committed 23 claims. pursuant to uniform policies and procedures, and Defendant treated Plaintiff 24 in the same manner as other class members in accordance with its standard 25 policies and practices. Defendant unlawfully included dismissed charges on 26 27 Plaintiff's report in the same manner as they were included on every other 28 class member's report. The information was included as a result of -10Defendant's uniform failure to implement the procedures outlined herein
 (appropriate algorithmic and human review).

40. <u>Adequacy</u>: Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the
interests of the Class, and has retained counsel experienced in complex class
action litigation. Counsel's biographies and experience are available at
<u>www.bergermontague.com</u> and www.consumerlawfirm.com

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

41. <u>Commonality</u>: Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class, including without limitation:

- (a) Whether Defendant violated the FCRA's prohibition on reporting dismissed charges that antedate the date the background report was prepared by more than seven years;
 - (b) Whether Defendant's conduct was willful under FCRA; and

(c) The appropriateness and proper measure of statutory damages.

Class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) 42. 15 16 because questions of law and fact common to the Class predominate over any 17 questions affecting only individual members of the Class, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient 18 Defendant's conduct described in this 19 adjudication of this litigation. 20 Complaint stems from common and uniform policies and practices, resulting 21 in common violations of the FCRA. Members of the Class do not have an 22 interest in pursuing separate actions against Defendant, as the amount of each class member's individual claim is small compared to the expense and burden 23 of individual prosecution, and Plaintiff is unaware of any similar claims 24 brought against Defendant by any members of the Class on an individual 25 26 basis. Class certification will also obviate the need for unduly duplicative 27 litigation that might result in inconsistent judgments concerning Defendant's 28 practices. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will not -111 present any likely difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial 2 efficiency, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all class members' claims in a single forum. 3

43. After a class has been certified, Plaintiff will send notice to all 4 members of the class by US Mail, in a manner which complies with Federal 5 6 Rule 23(c)(2). The notice will be provided to this Court for review and 7 approval before being disseminated to the class.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(a) (On behalf of Plaintiff and the Class)

44. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations 12 in the preceding paragraphs.

13 45. A-Check is a consumer reporting agency as defined by the 14 FCRA, and the employment-related background reports it generates are 15 subject to the restrictions set forth in 15 U.S.C. § 1681c(a).

16 A-Check routinely and systematically violated 15 U.S.C. § 46. 17 1681c(a) by including dismissed charges that predate the report by more than 18 seven years in its reports.

19 47. The foregoing violations were negligent and/or willful. A-20 Check acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of its obligations and the rights 21 of Plaintiff and other class members under 15 U.S.C. § 1681c. A-Check's 22 willful conduct is reflected by, inter alia, the following:

23

24

25

26

27

28

8

9

10

11

- a) The FCRA was enacted in 1970; A-Check, which was founded in 1998, has had 17 years to become compliant;
- b) A-Check is a large corporation with access to legal advice through its own general counsel's office and outside employment counsel.

1	Yet, there is no contemporaneous evidence that it determined that
2	its conduct was lawful;
3	c) A-Check knew or had reason to know that its conduct was
4	inconsistent with FTC guidance, case law, and the plain language
5	of the Act;
6	d) A-Check voluntarily ran a risk of violating the law substantially
7	greater than the risk associated with a reading that was merely
8	careless; and
9	e) A-Check's violations of the FCRA were repeated and systematic.
10	48. Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to actual damages or statutory
11	damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1,000 for each and every
12	one of these violations, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A).
13	49. Plaintiff and the Class are further entitled to recover their costs
14	and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3).
15	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
16	50. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class,
17	prays for relief as follows:
18	(a) Determining that this action may proceed as a class action under
19	Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 382;
20	(b) Designating Plaintiff as Class Representative and designating
21	Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Class;
22	(c) Issuing proper notice to the Class at Defendant's expense;
23	(d) Declaring that Defendant violated the FCRA;
24	(e) Declaring that Defendant acted willfully, in knowing or reckless
25	disregard of Plaintiff's rights and its obligations under the FCRA;
26	(f) Awarding statutory damages and punitive damages as provided
27	by the FCRA;
28	12
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, Case No.5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK

1	(g) Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by
2	the FCRA;
3	(h) Granting other and further relief, in law or equity, as this Court
4	may deem appropriate and just.
5	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
6	Plaintiff and the Class demand a trial by jury.
7	
8	Dated: February 17, 2016TATAR LAW FIRM, APC
9	
10	By: <u>/s/ Stephanie Tatar</u>
11	Stephanie Tatar Attorney for Plaintiff and the
12	Proposed Class
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-14-
	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT, Case No.5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK
II	

Exhibit A



Confidential Background Report Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001 Position Applied for: LAWRENCEVILLE Package: RICOH LOGISTICS Priority: Yes

ONLINE PICKUP

			UNLINE PIC
Report Subject:		Prepared for:	
	Redacted		RICOH LOGISTICS CORPORATION
	LAWRENCEVILLE, GA Red		1125 HURRICAN SHOALS RD BLDG 2
	USA		LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30043
			USA
Date of Birth:	Redacte		-
SSN / GOV ID:	Redacted	Site Number:	RCOH002-0000
		Telephone:	770-338-7237
Report Status:	CLOSED	Fax:	
Adjudication:	FURTHER REVIEW OF CRIMINAL 🌾 RESULTS REQUIRED	e-mail:	MONICA_BROWN-JERRY@REI.RICOH.COM
		File Submitted:	August 14, 2014 1:24 PM (12:00 PM cutoff)
		Clock Begins:	August 15, 2014 8:24 AM
		File Closed:	August 25, 2014 4:25 PM
		File Modified:	September 08, 2014 9:24 AM
			All Times are PST

Investigation Summary for : JOHN SMITH

🖾 - Specific area that may require review

			COMPONENT STATUS		
REPORT COMPONENT / NAME(S)	INFORMATION SOURCE	COUNTRY	Completed	Verified by QC Dept.	For Client Review
SSN VERIF TRANSUNION					
JOHN SMITH	TRANSUNION		08/14/2014	~	
PRIORITY STATUS					
JOHN SMITH			08/18/2014	¥	
NDOT DRUG SCREEN					
JOHN SMITH	0404900		08/18/2014	r	
CRIMINAL - FEDERAL NATIONWI	DE 7 YEARS				
JOHN SMITH	NATIONWIDE US	USA	08/20/2014	· · · ·	
CRIMINAL - FELONY & MISDEME	NOR 7 YEARS	· · ·			1.00
JOHN SMITH	BARROW GA	USA	08/19/2014	*	
JOHN SMITH	CABARRUS NC	USA	08/21/2014	*	3
JOHN SMITH	COBB GA	USA	08/20/2014	*	
JOHN SMITH	FULTON GA	USA	08/18/2014	¥	
JOHN SMITH	GUILFORD NC	USA	08/14/2014	*	3
JOHN SMITH	GWINNETT GA	USA	08/18/2014	×	



Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

JOHN SMITH	NATIONWIDE	USA	08/21/2014	×	
NATIONWIDE SEX OFFEND	ER 7 YEARS				
JOHN SMITH		USA	08/19/2014	~	
EDUCATION VERIFICATION					
JOHN SMITH	SOUTHEAST GUILFORD	USA	08/20/2014	~	
EMPLOYMENT VERIFICATIO	DN				
JOHN SMITH	ALBUMS INC	USA	08/18/2014	*	
JOHN SMITH	GO HOME LTD	USA	08/20/2014	~	e .
JOHN SMITH	TRANSATLANTIC	USA	08/19/2014	*	
PRE-ADVERSE NOTIFICATIO	ON				
JOHN SMITH			08/28/2014	· 🖌	
ADVERSE NOTIFICATION					
JOHN SMITH			09/08/2014	×	
CRIMINAL EXTENDED SEAF	ксн				
JOHN SMITH			08/21/2014	~	
SCHEDULE DIRECT	_				
JOHN SMITH	a wante waarde yn dy daal is de in an de ferste de ferste de inder water de inder oor op die de ander de endat		08/14/2014	~	

Under the terms of this agreement, this report is submitted with the understanding that it is to be held in strict confidence. Pre and Post notification requirements under the FCRA are required. If any information contained within the report is used for making an Adverse Action, please discuss the report with the subject prior to taking action. If the applicant disputes the information within this report, have the applicant contact A-Check America directly WITHIN 60 DAYS.

REPORT SUMMARY ENDS



Name / AKA's

JOHN SMITH

P.O. Box 5615 Riverside, CA 92517 USA Call Toll free: 877-345-2021 Call Direct: 951-750-1501 Fax Toll free: 877-278-2021 A-CHECK Fax Direct: 951-750-1301

SSN VERIF. - TRANSUNION

Information Source SSN VERIF. - TRANSUNION Country Completed USA 08/14/2014

Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH

File Number: F000958001

For Client Review

TRANS UNION 2 BALDWIN PLACE, P. O. BOX 1000 CHESTER, PA. 19022 800-888-4213

DOB: **SSN:** Redacted **On File Since:** Phone:

Date Reported: 8/14/2014 **Reference Number:**

AKA:

Name: JOHN D SMITH Junior

Address 1: edacter LAWRENCEVILLE GA Red Reported On: 8/1/2006

Name: JOHN D SMITH Junior

Address 3: Redacted GREENSBORO NC Red Reported On:

Name: JOHN SMITH

Address 2: Redacted LAWRENCEVILLE GA Red Reported On: 7/21/2008

Name: JOHN D SMITH Junior

Address 2: edacted LAWRENCEVILLE GA Red Reported On: 8/1/2003

Name: JOHN SMITH

Address 1: Redacted LAWRENCEVILLE GA Red Reported On: 5/9/2009

WARNING MESSAGES

000 inquiries on file 000 inquiries on file

NOTE: The credit bureau request for information above is considered a "soft" hit and does not affect your credit score.

NDOT DRUG SCR	EEN					
Name		SI	ecimen ID		Completed	For Client Review
JOHN SMITH		04	04900	,	08/18/2014	
Specimen ID: 0	0404900					
Client Name:	RICOH LOGISTICS CORPORATION	Requestor:	MONICA BROWN- JERRY	Phone: 770-338-	7237	Fax:
Site Number:	RCOH002-0000					
NDOT DRUG SC	REEN RESULTS					
	Name: JOH	IN SMITH	Specimer	1D: 0404900		
So	cial Security #: Re	dacted	Collection I	Date: 08/15/2014	Collection	Time: 11:37 AM PS
	Testing Lab: QU	EST DIAGNOSTICS	Test D	Date: 08/16/2014	Test	Time: 12:23 AM PS
	Type of Test: ND	OT SAP 5-50/2000	Report D	ate: 08/16/2014	Report '	Time: 05:15 AM PS



Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

Reason for Test: PRE-EMPLOYMENT Test Results: Collection Site: QUEST Specimen Type: SPURINE Specimen Type Provided: SPURINE Specimen Type Reported: SPURINE

SUBSTANCE ABUSE PANEL	INITIAL TEST LEVEL	GC/MS CONFIRM TEST	LEVEL RESULT
AMPHETAMINES			
- AMPHETAMINE	1000 NG/ML	500 NG/ML	NEGATIVE
- METHAMPHETAMINE	1000 NG/ML	500 NG/ML	NEGATIVE
COCAINE METABOLITES	300 NG/ML	150 NG/ML	NEGATIVE
MARIJUANA METABOLITES	50 NG/ML	15 NG/ML	NEGATIVE
OPIATES	2000 NG/ML	2000 NG/ML	NEGATIVE
PHENCYCLIDINE	25 NG/ML	25 NG/ML	NEGATIVE

Report Status: FINAL REPORT Verified By: CERTIFIED SCIENTIST



Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

lame / AKA's	District			Country	Completed	Record Found
JOHN SMITH	NATIONWIDE			USA	08/20/2014	YES 🖸
RIMINAL - FELONY & M	IISDEMEANOR 7 YEARS					
lame / AKA's		itate / rovince	Country	Scope	Completed	Record Found
JOHN SMITH	BARROW	GA	USA	FELON-MISD	08/19/2014	NO
JOHN SMITH	COBB	GA	USA	FELON-MISD	08/20/2014	NO
JOHN SMITH	FULTON	GA	USA	FELON-MISD	08/18/2014	NO
JOHN SMITH	GUILFORD	NC	USA	FELON-MISD	08/14/2014	YES 💽
JOHN SMITH	GWINNETT	GA	USA	FELON-MISD	08/18/2014	YES 🖾
JOHN SMITH	CABARRUS	NC	USA	FELON-MISD	08/21/2014	YES 💽
Records Found: GUILFO	DRD, NC					
Court Case #:	95CR 076804	c	Count: 1			
Charge	POSSESSION OF STOLEN	GOODS	Date: DECEMBE	R 08, 1995	Type: M	ISDEMEANOR
Disposition:	GUILTY		Date: FEBRUAR	Y 19, 1996	Type: M	ISDEMEANOR
Contonco	6 MONTHS PROBATION 1					
Status of Case:	OPEN	5 DAYS JAIL	., \$60 FINE; 10/20	6/1996- WARRANT	ISSUED ***ACTIV	'E WARRANT***
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf	OPEN	5 DAYS JAIL	., \$60 FINE; 10/20 SSN:	6/1996- WARRANT	TISSUED ***ACTIV DOB:	'E WARRANT*** Red:
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf	OPEN formation above using: JOHN SMITH	5 DAYS JAIL		6/1996- WARRANT		
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name:	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH DRD, NC			6/1996- WARRANT		
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFC Court Case #:	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH DRD, NC	C	SSN:		DOB: Type: Ti	Red:
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFC Court Case #:	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH DRD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F	C	SSN: Count: 1	Y 28, 2001	DOB: Type: Ti M	Red :
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFC Court Case #: Charge: Disposition:	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH DRD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F	C REVOKED	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20,	Y 28, 2001 2001	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: I M	Red: RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFC Court Case #: Charge: Disposition:	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH DRD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F GUILTY CHARGE AMENDED TO IMF	C REVOKED	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20,	Y 28, 2001 2001	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: I M	Red: RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFC Court Case #: Charge: Disposition: Sentence:	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH ORD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F GUILTY CHARGE AMENDED TO IMF CLOSED	C REVOKED	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20,	Y 28, 2001 2001	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: I M	Red : RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO Court Case #: Charge: Disposition: Sentence: Status of Case: Subject matched to inf	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH ORD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F GUILTY CHARGE AMENDED TO IMF CLOSED	C REVOKED	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20,	Y 28, 2001 2001	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: IN ON); \$90 FINE	Red: RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO Court Case #: Charge: Disposition: Sentence: Status of Case: Subject matched to inf	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH ORD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F GUILTY CHARGE AMENDED TO IMF CLOSED Formation above using: JOHN SMITH	C REVOKED	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20, IPMENT: SPEEDO	Y 28, 2001 2001	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: IN ON); \$90 FINE	Red : RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR IFRACTION
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO Court Case #: Charge: Disposition: Sentence: Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO	OPEN Formation above using: JOHN SMITH ORD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F GUILTY CHARGE AMENDED TO IMF CLOSED Formation above using: JOHN SMITH	C Revoked Proper Equ	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20, IPMENT: SPEEDO	Y 28, 2001 2001	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: IN ON); \$90 FINE	Red : RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR IFRACTION
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO Court Case #: Charge: Disposition: Sentence: Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO	OPEN formation above using: JOHN SMITH ORD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F GUILTY CHARGE AMENDED TO IMF CLOSED formation above using: JOHN SMITH DRD, NC	C Revoked Proper Equ	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20, IPMENT: SPEEDO	Y 28, 2001 2001 METER (INFRACTIO	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: IN ON); \$90 FINE	Red: RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR IFRACTION
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO Court Case #: Charge: Disposition: Sentence: Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO	OPEN ormation above using: JOHN SMITH ORD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F GUILTY CHARGE AMENDED TO IMF CLOSED ormation above using: JOHN SMITH DRD, NC 95CRS078204 BREAK OR ENTER A MOTO VEHICLE	C Revoked Proper Equ	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20, IPMENT: SPEEDO SSN: Count: 1 Date: SEPTEMB	Y 28, 2001 2001 METER (INFRACTION ER 03,	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: IN ON); \$90 FINE DOB: DOB: Type: Fi Type: Ti	Red: RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR NFRACTION
Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO Court Case #: Charge: Disposition: Sentence: Status of Case: Subject matched to inf Name: Records Found: GUILFO Court Case #: Charge: Disposition:	OPEN ormation above using: JOHN SMITH ORD, NC 01CR 029966 DRIVING WHILE LICENSE F GUILTY CHARGE AMENDED TO IMF CLOSED ormation above using: JOHN SMITH DRD, NC 95CRS078204 BREAK OR ENTER A MOTO VEHICLE	C REVOKED PROPER EQU	SSN: Count: 1 Date: FEBRUAR Date: JULY 20, IPMENT: SPEEDO SSN: Count: 1 Date: SEPTEMB 1996 Date: NOVEMBE	Y 28, 2001 2001 METER (INFRACTI) ER 03, ER 13, 1996	DOB: Type: Ti M Type: IN ON); \$90 FINE DOB: DOB: Type: Fi Type: Ti M	Red RAFFIC - ISDEMEANOR IFRACTION Redacte





Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

Records Found: GUILFO	RD, NC		
Court Case #:	96CRS072864	Count: 1	
Charge:	OBSTRUCTING JUSTICE	Date: OCTOBER 11, 1996	Type: MISDEMEANOR
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: NOVEMBER 13, 1996	Type: MISDEMEANOR
Sentence:	UNDISLCOSED SENTENCE		
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to info	ormation above using:		
Name: 1	IOHN SMITH	SSN:	DOB: Redacte
Records Found: GUILFO	RD, NC		
Court Case #:	97CRS012983	Count: 1	
Charge:	FINANCIAL CARD FRAUD	Date: OCTOBER 08, 1997	Type: FELONY
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: MARCH 23, 1998	Type: MISDEMEANOR
Sentence:	CHARGE AMENDED TO FINANCIAL C	ARD FRAUD (MISDEMEANOR); 45 DAYS J	AIL, FINES
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to info	ormation above using:		
Name: 1	OHN SMITH	SSN:	DOB: Redacte
Records Found: GUILFO	RD, NC		
Court Case #:	97CRS033961	Count: 1	
Charge:	LARCENY OF MOTOR VEHICLE (F)	Date: MAY 19, 1997	Type: FELONY
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: SEPTEMBER 25, 1997	Type: FELONY
Sentence:	8 MONTHS MIN 10 MONTHS MAX JA	IL	
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to info	ormation above using:		
Name: 1	IOHN SMITH	SSN:	DOB: Redacte
Records Found: GUILFO	RD, NC		
Court Case #:	97CRS052248	Count: 1	
Charge:	POSSESS STOLEN GOODS/PROPERTY	Date: AUGUST 04, 1997	Type: FELONY
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: SEPTEMBER 25, 1997	Type: FELONY
Sentence:	UNDISLCOSED SENTENCE		
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to info	ormation above using:		
Name: J	OHN SMITH	SSN:	DOB: Redacte

Confidential Background Report P.O. Box 5615 Riverside, CA 92517 USA Call Toll free: 877-345-2021 **Report Subject: JOHN SMITH** File Number: F000958001 Call Direct: 951-750-1501 Fax Toll free: 877-278-2021 A - C H E C K Fax Direct: 951-750-1301 Count: 1 Court Case #: 97CRS052454 Charge: POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY Date: JUNE 09, 1997 Type: FELONY FELON Date: SEPTEMBER 25, Type: FELONY Disposition: GUILTY 1997 Sentence: 14 MONTHS MIN 17 MONTHS MAX JAIL Status of Case: CLOSED Subject matched to information above using: Name: JOHN SMITH SSN: DOB: Redacte Records Found: GUILFORD, NC Court Case #: 97CRS071568 Count: 1 Charge: LARCENY AFTER BREAK/ENTER Date: NOVEMBER 03, 1997 Type: FELONY Disposition: GUILTY Date: APRIL 09, 1998 Type: FELONY Sentence: 11 MONTHS MIN 14 MONTHS MAX JAIL Status of Case: CLOSED Subject matched to information above using: Name: JOHN SMITH SSN: DOB: Redact Records Found: GUILFORD, NC Court Case #: 97CRS071568 Count: 2 Charge: BREAKING AND OR ENTERING Date: NOVEMBER 03, 1997 Type: FELONY Disposition: GUILTY Date: APRIL 09, 1998 Type: FELONY Sentence: 11 MONTHS MIN 14 MONTHS MAX JAIL Status of Case: CLOSED Subject matched to information above using: Name: JOHN SMITH SSN: DOB: Redacte Records Found: GUILFORD, NC Court Case #: 97CRS071569 Count: 1 Charge: LARCENY AFTER BREAK/ENTER Date: NOVEMBER 03, 1997 Type: FELONY Date: APRIL 09, 1998 Disposition: GUILTY Type: FELONY Sentence: 11 MONTHS MIN 14 MONTHS MAX JAIL Status of Case: CLOSED Subject matched to information above using: Name: JOHN SMITH SSN: DOB: Redacte Records Found: GUILFORD, NC Court Case #: 97CRS071569 Count: 2 Charge: BREAKING AND OR ENTERING Date: NOVEMBER 03, 1997 Type: FELONY **Disposition:** GUILTY Date: APRIL 09, 1998 Type: FELONY



Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

Santanca	11 MONTHS MIN 14 MONTHS MAX J	۵۱۱	
Status of Case:			
Subject matched to inf			
Name: .	John Smith		DOB: Redacte
Records Found: GUILFO	RD, NC		E.
Court Case #:	97CRS071570	Count: 1	
Charge:	OBTAIN PROPERTY UNDER FALSE PRETENSE	Date: NOVEMBER 03, 1997	Type: FELONY
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: APRIL 09, 1998	Type: FELONY
Sentence:	UNDISCLOSED SENTENCE		
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to inf	ormation above using:		
-	John Smith	SSN:	DOB: Redacte
Records Found: GUILFO	RD, NC		
Court Case #:		Count: 1	
Charge:	OBTAIN PROPERTY UNDER FALSE PRETENSE	Date: NOVEMBER 03, 1997	Type: FELONY
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: APRIL 09, 1998	Type: FELONY
Sentence:	UNDISCLOSED SENTENCE		
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to infe	ormation above using:		
Name:	JOHN SMITH	SSN:	DOB: Redacte
Records Found: GUILFO	RD, NC		
Court Case #:	00CR 044950	Count: 1	
Charge:	SPEEDING 053/35	Date: AUGUST 15, 2000	Type: TRAFFIC - MISDEMEANOR
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: MARCH 05, 2001	Type: INFRACTION
Sentence:	CHARGE AMENDED TO IMPROPER EC	QUIPMENT: SPEEDOMETER (INFRACTION); \$11	5 FINE
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to info	ormation above using:		
Name:	John Smith	SSN:	DOB: Redacte
Records Found: GUILFO	RD, NC		
Court Case #:	01CR 027239	Count: 1	1
Charge:	DRIVING WHILE LICENSE REVOKED	Date: FEBRUARY 05, 2001	Type: TRAFFIC - MISDEMEANOR
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: JULY 20, 2001	Type: TRAFFIC - MISDEMEANOR
Sentence:	\$90 FINE		



Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

Status of Case: CLOSED Subject matched to information above using: Name: JOHN SMITH SSN: DOB: Red Records Found: GUILFORD, NC Court Case #: 02CR 038796 Count: 1 Date: JUNE 05, 2002 Charge: EXPIRED REGISTRATION Type: TRAFFIC -MISDEMEANOR CARD/TAG Disposition: GUILTY Date: MARCH 05, 2003 Type: TRAFFIC -MISDEMEANOR Sentence: \$125 FINE Status of Case: CLOSED Subject matched to information above using: Name: JOHN SMITH SSN: DOB: Red Records Found: GWINNETT, GA Court Case #: 03-B-00161-1 Count: 1 Charge: FORGERY IN THE FIRST DEGREE Date: APRIL 18, 2003 Type: FELONY Disposition: GUILTY Date: APRIL 18, 2003 Type: FELONY Sentence: 5 YEARS PROBATION; 80 HOURS COMMUNITY SERVICE; \$1619 FINE/ RESTITUTION Status of Case: CLOSED Subject matched to information above using: DOB: Red Name: JOHN SMITH SSN: Records Found: GWINNETT, GA Court Case #: 03-B-00161-1 Count: 2 Charge: FORGERY IN THE 1ST DEGREE Date: APRIL 18, 2003 Type: FELONY **Disposition:** GUILTY Date: APRIL 18, 2003 Type: FELONY Sentence: CONCURRENT TO COUNT 1 Status of Case: CLOSED Subject matched to information above using: Name: JOHN SMITH SSN: DOB: Red Records Found: GWINNETT, GA Court Case #: 05-B-04625-1 Count: 1 Charge: CRIMINAL DAMAGE TO PROPERTY Date: OCTOBER 25, 2005 Type: FELONY IN THE 2ND DEGREE Disposition: GUILTY Date: JUNE 28, 2006 Type: FELONY Sentence: 5 YEARS PROBATION; \$1869 FINE/RESTITUTION, ANGER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. STAY AWAY FROM INCIDENT LOCATION, NO CONTACT WITH VICTIMS; 4/5/2010- PROBATION CONTINUED. 3 MONTHS INCARCERATION; 2/11/2013- TERMINATION OF PROBATION Status of Case: CLOSED



Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

Name:	JOHN SMITH	SSN:	DOB: Red
Records Found: GWINN	IETT, GA		
Court Case #:	05-B-04625-1	Count: 2	
Charge:	TERRORISTIC THREATS AND ACTS	Date: OCTOBER 25, 2005	Type: FELONY
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: JUNE 28, 2006	Type: FELONY
Sentence:	CONCURRENT TO COUNT 1		
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to inf	ormation above using:		
Name:	John Smith	SSN:	DOB: Red
Records Found: GWINN	ETT, GA		
Court Case #:	05-B-04625-1	Count: 3	
Charge:	SIMPLE BATTERY	Date: OCTOBER 25, 2005	Type: MISDEMEANOR
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: JUNE 28, 2006	Type: MISDEMEANOR
Sentence:	CONCURRENT TO COUNT 1		
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to inf	ormation above using:		
Name:	IOHN SMITH	SSN:	DOB: Red
Records Found: GWINN	ETT, GA		278
Court Case #:	08-D-06238-S2	Count: 1	
Charge:	SPEEDING	Date: SEPTEMBER 15, 2008	Type: MISDEMEANOR
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: JANUARY 20, 2009	Type: MISDEMEANOR
Sentence:	10 DAYS JAIL-SUSPENDED, \$140.00	FINE	
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to inf	ormation above using:		
Name:	JOHN SMITH	SSN:	DOB: Red
Records Found: CABAR	RUS, NC		
Court Case #:	11CR 710192	Count: 1	
Charge:	SPEEDING 081/65	Date: JULY 02, 2011	Type: TRAFFIC - MISDEMEANOR
Disposition:	GUILTY	Date: OCTOBER 28, 2011	Type: INFRACTION
Sentence:	CHARGE AMENDED TO IMPROPER EC	QUIPMENT: SPEEDOMETER (INFRACTIO	DN); \$195 FINE
Status of Case:	CLOSED		
Subject matched to inf	ormation above using:		
Name:			



Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

NATIONAL CRIMINAL DATABASE SEARCH 7 YEARS

Attention: A National Criminal Locator Search was performed and to comply with FCRA 613, A-Check America does not directly report any information resulting from the search. If there is a discovery of criminal record information resulting from the utilization of the Locator Service, all case information is verified via direct research of the jurisdiction containing the original record information. All legally reportable, up to date case detail is reported in the County Felony/Misdemeanor component of this report. Absence of record information in the County Felony/Misdemeanor component indicates no reportable records were found utilizing the search.

NATIONWIDE SEX OFFENDER 7 YEARS

Attention: A National Sex Offender Locator Search was performed and to comply with FCRA 613, A-Check America does not directly report any information resulting from the search. If there is a discovery of a sex offender registration resulting from the utilization of the Locator Service, all registry information is verified via direct research of the individual state's sex offender database. All legally reportable, up to date case detail is reported in the State Sex Offender component of this report. Absence of a State Sex Offender component on the report indicates that no reportable information was found utilizing the search.

lame	Institution	State / Province	Country	Completed	For Client Review		
	HEAST GUILFORD	NC	USA	08/20/2014			
Institution: SOUTHEAST GUILFORD			dun de				
City:	GREENSBORO						
State / Province:	NC						
Country:	USA						
	Information Subject	t Provided	Informat	ion Institution	Verified		
Dates Attended:	NOT PROVIDED to:	NOT PROVIDED	08/1992 t	o: 06/1995			
Major:			NOT PROV	NOT PROVIDED			
Degree/Diploma/Certificate:	HIGH SCHOOL DIPLON	MA	HIGH SCH	ool diploma			
Graduated?	NOT PROVIDED		YES				
Grade Point Average:							
Graduation Date:			06/01/199	15			
		Verified B	IY: QUIDA MI	LTON , MICOFIL	M SPECIALIST		
MPLOYMENT VERIFICATION							
lame	Employer	State / Province	Country	Completed	For Client Review		
JOHN SMITH	ALBUMS INC	GA	USA	08/18/2014			
JOHN SMITH TR	ANSATLANTIC	GA	USA	08/19/2014			
JOHN SMITH G	O HOME LTD	GA	USA	08/20/2014			
Employer: ALBUMS INC							
1.5. A 2014 MARCH MA MARCH MARCH MARCH ANN AN ANN ANN ANN ANN ANN ANN ANN ANN		The set of the Physics of the set of the set of the Sole of Association and the Sole of the set of	The sum subscription of the second se	Nonza reno ganta managa na fara da segunda d			

	Information Subject Provided	Information Employer Verified
Dates of Employment:	03/2003 to: 10/2005	NOT PROVIDED to:
Position Held:		NOT PROVIDED



> DOT Position? NO Duties: **Reason Left:** Voluntary / Terminated: Salary: Commission:

> > Bonus:

Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

	NO
	NOT PROVIDED
	NOT PROVIDED
	NOT PROVIDED
	\$0.00 NOT PROVIDED
	\$0.00 NOT PROVID
	\$0.00 NOT PROVID
Verified By:	NOT PROVIDED, NOT PROVIDED

Standard Employment Questions	Y / N	Employer Comment
Eligible for Rehire?	NA	
Was the Job Performance Satisfactory	NA	
Met Attendance Requirements?	NA	
Met Job Performance Requirements?	NA	
Receive Any Awards Or Certificates?	NA	
Get Along Well With Others?	NA	
Would You Recommend This Applicant?	NA	
Comments:		NOTE PER CLIENT GUIDELINES, DO NOT VERIFY IF EMPLOYMENT DATES ARE OUTSIDE YEARS SCOPE.

Employer: TRANSATLANTIC

Address:	2150 N DULUT	ORTHMONT PARKWAY H, GA			
	Information Subject Provided			Information Employer Verified	
Dates of Employment:	10/200	5 to: 02/2013		10/2005 to: 02/2013	
Position Held:			LOGISTIC		
DOT Position?	? NO			NO	
Duties:			NOT PROVIDED		
Reason Left:				NOT PROVIDED	
Voluntary / Terminated:				NOT PROVIDED	
Salary:				\$0.00 NOT PROVIDED	
Commission:				\$0.00 NOT PROVID	
Bonus:				\$0.00 NOT PROVID	
			Verified By:	V WEBB, PAYROLL ADMINASTRATOR	
Standard Employment Questions	Y / N	Employer Comment	4		
Eligible for Rehire?	NA				
Was the Job Performance	NA				

Was the Job Performance Satisfactory



Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

Met Attendance Requirements?	NA
Met Job Performance Requirements?	NA
Receive Any Awards Or Certificates?	NA
Get Along Well With Others?	NA
Would You Recommend This Applicant?	NA
Comments:	PER COMPANY POLICY, ONLY DATES OF EMPLOYMENT AND POSITION COULD BE VERIFIED.

Employer: GO HOME LTD

Address:	6065 BOAT ROCK ROAD ATLANTA, GA		
	Information Subject Provided		Information Employer Verified
Dates of Employment:	05/2013 to: 03/2014		NOT PROVIDED to:
Position Held:			NOT PROVIDED
DOT Position?	NO		NO
Duties:			NOT PROVIDED
Reason Left:			NOT PROVIDED
Voluntary / Terminated:			NOT PROVIDED
Salary:			\$0.00 NOT PROVIDED
Commission:			\$0.00 NOT PROVID
Bonus:			\$0.00 NOT PROVID
	Verif	ied By:	NOT PROVIDED, NOT PROVIDED

Standard Employment Questions	Y / N	Employer Comment
Eligible for Rehire?	NA	
Was the Job Performance Satisfactory	NA	
Met Attendance Requirements?	NA	
Met Job Performance Requirements?	NA	
Receive Any Awards Or Certificates?	NA	
Get Along Well With Others?	NA	
Would You Recommend This Applicant?	NA	
Comments:	EMPLO CLOSEI UPDAT	D FOR CLIENT REVIEW DUE TO ATTEMPTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO CONTACT THE YER, WITH NO RESPONSE AS OF THIS DATE. AT THIS POINT, THE VERIFICATION WILL BE D; HOWEVER, SHOULD FURTHER INFORMATION BE RECEIVED, THE REPORT WILL BE ED AND FORWARDED TO YOU. PLEASE NOTE, APPLICANT HAS PROVIDED A COPY OF PAYCHECK STUB DATED 03/28/2014 FOR REVIEW.

END OF REPORT

Under the terms of this agreement, this report is submitted with the understanding that it is to be held in strict confidence. Pre and Post notification requirements



Confidential Background Report

Report Subject: JOHN SMITH File Number: F000958001

under the FCRA are required. If any information contained within the report is used for making an Adverse Action, please discuss the report with the subject prior to taking action. If the applicant disputes the information within this report, have the applicant contact A-Check America directly WITHIN 60 DAYS.

REPORT DETAIL ENDS

Case 5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK Document 15-2 Filed 02/17/16 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:182

EXHIBIT B

40 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE WITH THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT





Section 605 – 15 USC 1681c Requirements Relating to Information Contained in Consumer Reports

Section 605(a) generally provides time limits beyond which CRAs cannot include information in consumer reports, subject to exceptions set forth in section 605(b).

1. GENERAL

This section sets forth time periods beyond which CRAs may not include information in consumer reports, except in the circumstances set out in section 605(b).¹⁷⁶ Even if no specific adverse item is reported, a CRA may not furnish a consumer report referencing the existence of adverse information that predates the times set forth in this subsection.¹⁷⁷ Section 605(a) does not require CRAs to report all adverse information within the time periods set forth, but only prohibits them from reporting adverse items beyond those time periods.¹⁷⁸

2. SECTION APPLIES TO CRAS, NOT USERS

This section applies only to reporting by CRAs and does not limit creditors or others from using adverse obsolete information. Similarly, this section does not bar a creditor from disclosing adverse obsolete information concerning its transactions or experiences with a consumer, because the information is not a consumer report.¹⁷⁹

3. DATE THAT CRA ACQUIRED THE INFORMATION IRRELEVANT

The times or dates set forth in this section relate to the occurrence of events involving adverse information, which determine whether the item is obsolete. The date that the CRA acquired the adverse information is irrelevant to how long that information may be reported.¹⁸⁰

4. PROVISION LIMITED TO "ADVERSE" INFORMATION

The seven-year reporting period applies only to "adverse" information that casts the consumer in a negative or unfavorable light. CRAs are not bound by that seven-year limit in reporting dates of employment and educational histories, because such dates are not "adverse" information.¹⁸¹

5. RETENTION OF INFORMATION IN FILES

CRAs may retain adverse information described in subsection (a) and furnish it in reports for purposes that are exempt under subsection (b), described below. For example, the CRA may retain obsolete information for the purpose of furnishing it to persons engaged in (1) credit transactions or the underwriting of life insurance involving a principal amount of \$150,000 or more, or (2) the employment of any individual with an annual salary expected to equal \$75,000 or more.¹⁸²

Section 605(a)(1) prohibits CRAs from reporting "Cases under title 11 of the United States Code or under the Bankruptcy Act that, from the date of entry of the order for relief or the date of adjudication, as the case may be, antedate the report by more than 10 years."

1. RELATION TO OTHER SUBSECTIONS

Section 605(a) imposes time limitations on reporting of adverse information by CRAs. The reporting of bankruptcies is governed by subsection (a)(1). The reporting of accounts placed for collection or charged to profit and loss is governed by subsection (a)(4). The reporting of

Exhibit C

Background Checks - Employer Information and Resources | Employer Resources - Empl... Page 1 of 3 Case 5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK Document 15-3 Filed 02/17/16 Page 2 of 4 Page ID #:186



Background Checks - Employer Information and Resources | Employer Resources - Empl... Page 2 of 3 Case 5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK Document 15-3 Filed 02/17/16 Page 3 of 4 Page ID #:187

As an FCRA compliant Consumer Reporting Agency (CRA), A-Check follows criminal records reporting guidelines as established by federal and state law, as well as by specific client business rules.

The FCRA allows CRAs like A-Check to report criminal *conviction* records indefinitely. Conviction information can be reported indefinitely unless the applicant or employer resides in one of 14 states with legislative restrictions on CRA reporting. In such cases, A-Check follows applicable state-specific reporting guidelines.

Generally speaking, *arrest* records not resulting in a conviction can be reported for up to 7 years. However, there are 14 states that limit CRA's criminal records reporting to convictions only.

Are offenses committed while a minor reported?

An applicant wants to dispute their background check results. What is the dispute process?

How long does the dispute process take?

How can the applicant request a copy of their background report?

How long will it take before the applicant receives a copy of their background report once requested?

What does a background check consist of?

Is a credit check always performed?

Do we obtain credit score information if a credit check is conducted?

Are the credit inquiries hard hits?

Does A-Check make the hiring decision?

Do you conduct background checks on companies?

Can I request a background check on myself, a friend or anyone else?

Useful Employer Links

I have another question not addressed here. Who do I contact?

Drug Testing - Medical Screening FAQ - Employers

 How long does it take for Drug Screen results?

 What drug testing method or methods should I use at my organization?

 Can I use instant detection products such as saliva or urine analysis cups in my state or country for employment screening purposes?

 Can I use A-Check's instant detection products such as saliva or urine analysis cups for home use?

 What are the time Detection Windows for the different methods of drug testing?

 Where can I find the text of regulations covering 49 CFR Part 40?

 Where can I get DOT drug and alcohol testing information for my employees?

 What are the required Random DOT Testing Rates?

 Useful Employer Links

 I have another question not addressed here. Who do I contact?

Suggest an FAQ



Background Checks - Employer Information and Resources | Employer Resources - Empl... Page 3 of 3 Case 5:16-cv-00174-VAP-KK Document 15-3 Filed 02/17/16 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:188

About Us Services Industry Solutions Technology Resources A-Check Global Partners Contact A-Check Background Construction A-Check Direct 3.0 My A-Check: International Background Check Careers Screening Solutions Entertainment Data Integrity And Applicant Criminal Records Partners Memberships & Substance Abuse Financial Security Resources Searches Duo Screening Vity A-Check Esting and Medical Government ATS/HIS/NMS Employer International Drug Partners Mission / Sinon & E-Verify & Manufacturing Capabilities Partner Resources Global Offices Alliances and Sister Outsourcing Policy Assessmentas Non-Profit/Voluteer While Papers and Integrational Companies Acheck Observer Applicant Tracking Telecommunications Transportation Side Side Side Side Side Side Side Side	<u>Resources</u>		<u>nplover Partne</u> sources <u>Resourc</u>				
Screening Solutions	Contact A-Check Careers Memberships & Certifications Why A-Check Mission Vision & Core Values Outsourcing Policy Media and Press Resources	Background Screening Solutions Substance Abuse Testing and Medical Screening E-Verify & Electronic Form I-9 Assessments - MERIT Profile Applicant Tracking Systems Employee Training Solutions Adverse Letters and Applicant Disputes	Construction Entertainment Financial Government Healthcare Manufacturing Non-Profit/Volunteer Retail Telecommunications	A-Check Direct 3 0 Data Integrity And Security ATS/HRIS//MS Integration	My A-Check: Applicant Resources Employer Resources Partner Resources White Papers and	International Criminal Records Searches International Drug Screening Services Global Offices International	Background Check Partners Drug Screening Partners Integration Partners Alliances and Sister Companies A-Check Partner



Chat now

https://www.acheckglobal.com/resources/employer-resources.aspx

Exhibit D

acquital
acquittal
acquittal/not guilty
acquitted
acquitted by court
amended
and dismissed
bond forfeiture
case dismissed
charge dismissed
charge dismissed but read in
charge dismissed with leave to reinstate
charge dismissed, non-conviction
cjoc – closed, jeopardy, or other convictions
closing dismissal
compl dismissed by cty atty
conviction set aside
court dismissal
ct dismissed
dead docket
declined prosecution
default judgment
denied (with all wildcard variations)
diasmissed
dimissed
directed verdict not guilty
discharged
dismisaal issued
dismised
dismiss (with all wildcard variations)
dismiss by pros
dismiss/state motion
dismissal
dismissal w/ leave
dismissal w/leave by da
dismissal w/o leav
dismissal w/o leave
dismissal w/out leave by da
dismissal with leave by da
dismissal without leave
dismissal without leave by da
dismissd
dismisse
dismissed
dismissed – convicted in another case

dismissed – costs to defendant
dismissed – motion by prosecutor
dismissed (count
dismissed after costs
dismissed all charges
dismissed at district court
dismissed at prelimary hearing
dismissed by court
dismissed by da
dismissed by du dismissed by other
dismissed by prosecution
dismissed by prosecutor
dismissed by prosecutor dismissed direct indictment
dismissed lower court
dismissed no prosecution
dismissed no prosecution dismissed on defendant's motion
dismissed on detendant's motion dismissed on motion of prosecutor
dismissed on prosecutor's motion
dismissed on prosecutor's motion dismissed voluntary payment
dismissed voluntary payment dismissed with prejudice
dismissed with prejudice
dismissed without prejudice dismissed/terminate court supervision
dismissing
dismissing dismissed not indicted
dismssed
disposed
disposed lower court
dropped
dropped/abandoned
dropped/abandoned/no information filed
dropped/dismissed
dsimissed
dsimissed
dwl
ended
execution of issue
forfeiture
found not guilty
intervention in lieu of conviction, dismissed, non-conviction
judgment dismissal
judgment of acquittal
judgment on bond forfeiture
judgment set aside, non-conviction
judicial dismissal
no action taken

no bill
no billed
no complaint filed no further action anticipated
no information
no information filed
no information notice
no information signed
no papered
no probable cause
no true bill
no-billed
nofile/no prosecution
nol presqui
nol pross
nole pross
nole prosse
nolle (with all wildcard variations)
nolle completed deferred prosecution
nolle presqui with court
nolle pros
nolle prosecution
nolle prosed
nolle prosequi
nolle prosequi – case
nolle prosequi – charge
nolle prosequi indicted
nolle proseuqi
nolle pross
nolle prosse
nolle prossed
nolle prossed with costs
nolle prossed, non-conviction
nolle prossed, non-conviction
nolle prosses
nolle prsoequi
nolled
nolleprosse
nolleprossed
nollied
nolo contender plea
non adjudication of guilt
non adjudication of guilt (agreed plea)
non suit
nonadjudication of guilt
nonaujaurounon of Sunt

non-conviction
not addressed
not available
not file/down filed
not filed
not guilty – bench
not guilty – jury
not guilty (with all wildcard variations)
not guilty bench trial
not guilty jury trial
not guilty/deny
not presented to grand jury
not responsible
not sustained at prelim/grand jury
notice termination prosecution
quashed
refused
set aside/voided
sol
terminated
void
waiting on researcher
waived to grand jury
warrant dismiss
withdrawn (with all wildcard variations)